

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/820,430 | 04/08/2004 | Charli Kruse | B1180/20026 | 7174 |
| 3000 CAESAR RIV | 7590 05/06/200 VISE, BERNSTEIN, | 9 | EXAM | INER |
| COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. | | | HAMA, JOANNE | |
| 11TH FLOOR 1635 MARKE | L, SEVEN PENN CENT ET STREET | ER | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | IIA, PA 19103-2212 | | 1632 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/06/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

| | JOANNE HAMA | 1632 | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | |
| 1) <u>JOANNE HAMA</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| 2) <u>Mr. Joseph Murphy</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 30 April 2009. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | 2)[☐ applicant's representative | e] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>3,5-14 and 48-61</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |) was not reached. h) № N | I/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Issues regarding the 112, 1st parag. Enablement rejection were discussed</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE | | | | | | |
| NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the SIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT) ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, ' | DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS | HIS LATER, TO | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /Joanne Hama/ | K | | | | | |
| Primary Examiner. Art Unit 1632 | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)